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“It is easier to build strong children than to repair broken men.”
- FREDERICK DOUGLASS

This building process starts at conception and much of a child’s strength is determined by the time she is six years old. This period of development, from conception to six, lays the foundation for lifelong health, wellbeing, and education.

South African policy and legislation affords children special care and protection, recognising their vulnerability and their enormous potential. This policy intent translates into a range of essential services, including healthcare, nutrition, social support, and early learning stimulation – with provisioning, aimed at the most vulnerable children in society.

While essential, these services form only the very outer circle of support around the child. Within the state’s support mechanism is the community the child belongs to and within that band of support is the family unit – the most immediate level of influence during the child’s most important development years. At the nucleus of these concentric circles is the parent – or the primary caregiver – and her child. Sociology defines this relationship as a dyad, from the Greek word for two; it is the smallest possible social group and the first one we belong to upon birth.

Nurturance, security, stimulation, and love delivered through the parent-child dyad is where early childhood development begins. At Ilifa, we refer to this as the “ordinary magic,” through which parents and primary caregivers impart to their children the gifts of imagination, language, social cohesion, curiosity, and understanding of the world.

The social structures around the parent-child dyad, however, are of profound importance because the support, or in adverse instances the neglect or hostility they give, will affect the ability of the parent to nurture her child, impacting the quality of the relationship between them, and consequently the development of the child.

In this Ilifa Insights, we explore the relationship between the parent – or the primary caregiver – and the child, within the context of these concentric circles of influence. Our implementing partners each discuss the ways in which their interventions attempt to mitigate the adverse environmental influences directed at the parent and the impact this has on her relationship with her child and the child’s development.

Neuroscientist Barak Morgan explains the effects of the adverse external environment on the architecture of the brain, and the impact of interventions on caregivers’ brains, and their consequent behaviour.

One of the clearest applications of Morgan’s theory is seen in the article describing Sinovuyo’s Caring Families Programme – a direct intervention aimed at changing punishment-based parenting behaviours.

Philani’s Mentor Mothers programme, which was implemented in Khayelitsha and other Cape Town informal settlements, clearly evidences the impact of increased support for the primary caregiver.

In the case of families with children with disabilities, especially those who live in remote and poor areas of the country, the parent-child dyad faces additional stress and, in many cases, outright hostility.

The article describing Ilifa’s inclusion work in KwaZulu Natal identifies the need for, not only direct caregiver support, but also for advocacy to combat the stigma around disability.

The circles of influence are explored in the article detailing Ilifa’s home visiting programme in the North West, which relies heavily on community support – through the Family & Community Motivator programme – for its efficacy.

And finally, Ilifa partner dlalanathi has developed a First 1 000 Days Relationship Support Tool Ibhayi Lengane, designed to be implemented in the form of an “add-on” to existing home visiting programmes, and aimed at encouraging activities which strengthen the parent-child relationship in the first 1 000 days of the child’s life. Dlalanathi’s account of the tool is given in the context of the parent’s most immediate circles of influence.

We hope you enjoy the read and welcome your input via email to lisa@ilifalabantwana.co.za or svetlana@ilifalabantwana.co.za.

Lisa Cohen
Parenting Portfolio Manager
We now know more about the early childhood development phase than we ever have. What makes recent findings so compelling are the enduring and largely irreversible ways in which adverse social conditions are biologically woven into the brain, with negative consequences for health and socio-economic outcomes in later life. This insight is known as the core story of brain development.

In general, adverse early childhood social environments, such as violence, poverty and insecurity, steer brain development on trajectories that support “survival” behaviours – behaviours adapted to surviving in these harsh environments. On the other hand, favourable early childhood social environments steer brain development on trajectories that support “thrive” behaviours – behaviours adapted to making the most of favourable conditions. Broadly speaking, to survive in harsh environments depends upon quick automatic reactions: fight, flight and freeze behaviours which stem from

### Phase II

**Focus**  
Provide implementation evidence. Put forward mechanisms for scale. Build consensus on what needs to be done and how to do it.

**Goals**

- Population-based ECD targets are set and used by government for planning purposes

**Focus area**

- Engagement and capacity-building with government

**Goals**

- Improved capacity at provincial and district levels for ECD management and oversight
- ECD-related laws and policies create a more enabling eco-system

The programmes outlined here form part of our work in systems development and optimisation, with the aim of generating evidence of what early childhood care and education (ECCE) models work and how these can be scaled.

Over the past year we have engaged partners in the implementation of five models:

1. **Relationship Support Tool** to assist home visitors to strengthen maternal-child relationships (page 26).
2. **A home visiting Family & Community Motivator programme** (FCM) targeting children aged 0-6 years and pregnant women (page 23).
3. **Playgroups** for children aged 3-4 years, implemented through the Community Work Programme – this model is not highlighted in this publication, visit www.ilifalabantwana.co.za for more information.
4. **ECD centre enrichment** – this model is not highlighted in this publication, visit www.ilifalabantwana.co.za for more.
5. **Inclusive ECD hubs** serving local municipalities (page 18).
6. **Philani’s Mentor Mothers** maternal and child health home visiting model (page 14).
7. **The Sinovuyo Caring Families Project** (page 8).

Ilifa’s work on increasing public understanding around the role of the caregiver in the first 1 000 days is demonstrated by the #LovePlayTalk multi-media campaign (page 28).

The insight is known as the core story of brain development. In general, adverse early childhood social environments, such as violence, poverty and insecurity, steer brain development on trajectories that support “survival” behaviours – behaviours adapted to surviving in these harsh environments. On the other hand, favourable early childhood social environments steer brain development on trajectories that support “thrive” behaviours – behaviours adapted to making the most of favourable conditions. Broadly speaking, to survive in harsh environments depends upon quick automatic reactions: fight, flight and freeze behaviours which stem from

### Too great expectations?

**Moving beyond the core story of brain development**

Recent scientific discoveries in brain development are reshaping our understanding of early childhood development. BARAK MORGAN explains the concepts of behavioural and neural plasticity in the context of parenting interventions.
The Ability to Change Brains and Behaviour Decreases Over Time

**Figure 1.** Neuroplasticity, the ability to change brains, decreases over time, while the effort needed to do this increases over time.

**Figure 2.** The core story of brain development. Valleys represent different strategic trajectories that brain development (blue ball) can follow. The different trajectories shown in these two identical landscapes are the result of different environmental conditions (not shown) steering development at key decision points in different directions. Once in a valley, even a big change in environment can do little to change conditions (not shown) steering development at key time points, differing environmental influences (not shown) at key time points, illustrated by wherever valleys divide into two, steer development down different trajectories. Once in a valley, the steep walls make it very hard to change course and there is no going back.

**Behavioural plasticity** means that where valleys divide, there are already neural circuits in the brain capable of going left or right. These circuits are waiting for the environment to steer behaviour one way or the other. Once this decision is made, the circuits supporting the chosen behaviour will strengthen and those in the forsaken valley will weaken. Results from neuroplasticity, which means that circuits which are not used grow weaker and circuits which are used grow stronger, are needed to succeed.

**Parental investment strategies**

Adverse environments also steer early brain development towards a “quantity over quality” adult reproductive strategy (having more children with less parental investment per child), while favourable environments steer brain development towards a “quality over quantity” adult reproductive strategy (lower children with more investment per child).

The former strategy makes sense when the chances of losing a child are high and where children who do reach adulthood will need only basic life-skills to survive. The latter strategy makes sense when almost all children reach adulthood and enter a complex world where far more sophisticated skills, which require significant parental investment to acquire, are needed to succeed.

A paradox

The social significance of the core story of brain development is that caregiver/child relationships have the power to mediate the influence of the greater social environment in steering early brain development in one direction or another. Building adults’ capacities to engage children in high quality, nurturing relationships is therefore of decisive importance. But if the core story is correct, how can adults who experienced early social adversity, which steered their brains along survival and limited parental investment trajectories, establish and maintain quality nurturing relationships with children? At the same time we know that psychosocial interventions can change adult behaviour in ways which enhance nurturing relationships and increased caregiver investment per child.

Is the core story wrong? Does an intervention, in fact, work by pushing the adult brain up and over into the next valley? Clearly not, even low-intensity interventions change caregiver behaviour far too quickly for such big structural brain changes to occur.

The solution to this paradox lies in **behavioural plasticity**. A change in social environment can unlock nurturing behaviours which were not evident, simply because the environment kept the underlying circuits locked away in the brain. Changing the environment in the right ways can unwind latent nurturing behaviours one might not have suspected were there.

But when these latent nurturing behaviours come from? How does it suddenly seem possible to go back in time up a long, deeply embedded valley to the point where the road forked and behavioural plasticity was once high?

The answer is that there are domains where behavioural plasticity remains high even after brain and behaviour have seemingly become deeply embedded along one valley. Parental investment is one of these domains. Countless psychosocial interventions demonstrate that the neural pathways for both low and high investment remain available. This is because these pathways are easily switched on or off by simple hormonal signals which are very sensitive to social environment. No major brain rewiring or effort is necessary to activate these unused neural pathways. In short, Figure 1 does not apply here.

**Beyond the core story of brain development**

The core story of brain development emphasises how early social adversity and neuroplasticity conspire to biologically embed brain architectures that result in socially undesirable long-term behaviours, which are very difficult to reverse. In contrast, this article highlights an abundance of behavioural plasticity in the domain of parental investment, which the core story of brain development implies should not be there.

The good news is that adult investment in nurturing relationships with children can increase much more readily than the core story suggests. The challenging news is that a shift in adult behaviour from a psychosocial intervention is unlikely to endure if psychosocial conditions change back again. This implies that psychosocial interventions must be sustained if behavioural change is to be sustained.

It is tempting to conclude from the core story of brain development that the physical, psychological, social and economic wellbeing of individuals, families, communities, and nations all hinges around the quality of adult-child nurturing relationships. But unlocking latent behavioural potential in adults for greater caregiver investment requires a greater social environment that not only unlocks but also keeps these highly plastic, readily reversible behaviours unlocked.

This analysis does not in any way question the need for psychosocial interventions with proven efficacy, but rather serves to place caregiver behaviour in its broader biopsychosocial context. Behavioural plasticity challenges any expectations that if we can just get parental investment during early childhood right, neuropsychology and biological embedding will make everything better, without anything else having to change first. But human behaviour cannot be rigidly programmed during early childhood to change society bottom-up. Society must take steps to reduce social adversity, so that vast stores of latent parental investment can be unlocked from the top-down for the benefit of children of all ages. Overcoming social adversity cannot be just on the shoulders of caregivers, nor can it be our long-term expectations of the children they care for.

**Dr Barak Morgan** is a post-doctoral fellow in the field of neuroscience at the University of Cape Town.
Learning lessons about positive parenting
from a parent skills training programme

By Jamie M Lachman, Catherine L Ward, Inge Wessels, Lucie D Cluver, Frances Gardner & Judy Hutchings

Child abuse and maltreatment are prevalent in South Africa, and the risks for children are compounded by societal factors such as high levels of poverty, HIV/AIDS, drug and alcohol abuse, and community and interpersonal violence.

Caregivers who are living with HIV/AIDS, who are caring for orphans, who have themselves been victims of child maltreatment or intimate partner violence, are particularly at risk of becoming perpetrators of child maltreatment, simply because of the stressors they face.

Children who are abused in early childhood are more likely to develop patterns of behaviour that result in negative outcomes later in life. These outcomes can include behavioural problems, poor educational performance, juvenile delinquency, substance abuse problems, mental health problems, intimate partner violence and the inter-generational transfer of abuse to one’s own children. Preventing child maltreatment, especially in high-risk contexts, is therefore an imperative for the wellbeing of South African children.

Parenting programmes that empower caregivers with skills for warm, positive parenting have been shown to be effective at reducing risk and allowing children to thrive. However, while a number of effective child abuse prevention programmes for the early childhood stage are available in high-income countries, very few have been rigorously evaluated in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Compounding the challenge is the fact that many of the programmes that have been shown to work in high-income countries are too costly to transport to low-resource settings, while high-income countries are too costly to implement.

Parenting programmes that empower caregivers with skills for warm, positive parenting have been shown to be effective at reducing risk and allowing children to thrive. However, while a number of effective child abuse prevention programmes for the early childhood stage are available in high-income countries, very few have been rigorously evaluated in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Compounding the challenge is the fact that many of the programmes that have been shown to work in high-income countries are too costly to transport to low-resource settings, while high-income countries are too costly to implement.

Sinovuyo Caring Families project: Addressing the evidence gap

The goal of the Sinovuyo project is to build an evidence base for a low-cost scalable parenting programme that prevents maltreatment and child conduct problems and meets the challenge of feasibility in low-income countries. Life is supporting the development of the project as a collaboration with academic institutions (the Universities of Cape Town, Bangor and Oxford), local NGOs (Clowns Without Borders South Africa, ikoma Labantu and The Parent Centre), and international agencies (the World Health Organisation and UNICEF). In the long term, it may be possible that what is learned from testing the Sinovuyo Caring Families Project can help us integrate parenting support into ECD delivery systems.

Sinovuyo is a 12-week, group-based programme designed specifically for delivery in low-resource settings. Each session is delivered by community workers trained to model parenting techniques. These facilitators also conduct home visits to support parents who require extra coaching and to involve other caregivers in the programme. The programme also includes SMS text reminders to support participation and reinforce key parenting principles.

Building a “Rondavel of Support”

The Sinovuyo Caring Families Project uses a model called “Building a Rondavel of Support” for You and Your Child” based on 50 years of research from around the world, which highlights the importance of establishing positive and nurturing relationships with children prior to using authoritative, limit-setting strategies.

By improving the quality of parent-child relationships through the use of positive parenting techniques, children are less likely to misbehave, which in turn reduces parents’ need to enforce limits and use disciplinary methods. At the same time, parents learn to regulate their own emotions and replace harsh and inconsistent parenting with non-violent and consistent discipline strategies.

Parents also learn simple, mindfulness-based, stress-reduction techniques to help them cope with stress arising from community violence, illness, poverty and the challenges of child-rearing.


“My improving the quality of parent-child relationships through the use of positive parenting techniques, children are less likely to misbehave...”
The social learning approach upon which Sinovuyo is based, strengthens parents’ and caregivers’ ability to support and learn from each other. Group discussions and collective problem-solving help them to be accountable and practice new parenting skills. Group role-plays are also invaluable in helping parents to learn core principles.

**Piloting and testing**

Two years ago, we piloted the programme with 68 families, living in Khayelitsha, Cape Town. Parents who participated were disadvantaged and lived in high-violence communities; yet they participated at high levels of interaction, engaged in the programme and found it culturally acceptable. Community workers implemented the programme with high fidelity to the design. Results indicated promising early signs that the programme improves positive parenting behaviour, thus warranting further testing.

From 2014-2016, the Sinovuyo programme is being evaluated in a large randomised control trial with 268 families and has extended into Nyanga, Cape Town. Using parent-report and observational assessments, the Sinovuyo team is examining the programme’s impact on child behaviour problems and harsh parenting and positive parenting. We are also evaluating the programme’s effects on parental mental health and the use of social support.

**Integration into ECD programmes**

There is great potential for the Sinovuyo Caring Families programme to be integrated into a wider ECD service delivery system, such as the one currently being developed by government, in partnership with Ilifa, in North West province. Many aspects of the programme’s approach and delivery would complement and strengthen home visiting programmes and early learning playgroups.

Sinovuyo’s collaborative facilitation methodology empowers parents as the primary caregivers of children. It is client-led and supports parents’ ability to learn through experiential activities, while treating them with respect. As with all community-based ECD interventions, however, this approach requires training, mentorship and ongoing supervision, in order to be delivered effectively to families living in such challenging circumstances.

Finally, it is important to recognise the communal aspects of parenting in a South African context. One mother from the Sinovuyo programme put it best: “A parent is a parent to all children” by strengthening the home environment through strong social networks in the community, we can ensure that positive parenting and non-violent discipline becomes the new norm for families in South Africa, thus ensuring that all children are provided with the nurturance and loving support they need to thrive.
A facilitator’s view of the Sinovuyo Caring Families Programme

By Andiswa Mgedle

My name is Andiswa Mgedle and I was born in a rural area near Willowvale in the Eastern Cape. I lived with my mother and my five siblings. My father was working in Cape Town, so we saw him during December holidays.

Growing up in a village, you were expected to respect an older person as if they were your mother or father. Once when I was just five years old, I was involved in a fight on the way home from school. A woman who was passing by just grabbed both of us, gave us a beating and told us not to do it again. I did not tell my mom, because I knew that she would hit me as well. If you did something wrong, you knew that you would get a beating, so you chose to keep quiet. But my mother loved us.

When I was six years old, we moved to Cape Town. The new environment seemed very noisy to me, the shacks were built very close to each other. They seemed so different from what I was used to in our culture. The training was very challenging, as I was a housekeeper and I was also studying early childhood development. I would practice some of the things I learned, like reading a book to Olo before bedtime, but if he did something wrong, I would give him a hiding. That’s what I was used to from my own childhood.

One day when Olo was three years old, I was bathing him and went to fetch a big towel. When I came back, I noticed that he had spilled bath water on the mat and became very angry. I hit him. Afterwards, I felt bad, but I didn’t say “sorry”. I just cuddled him. Becoming a Sinovuyo facilitator has been a great experience for me.

The training was very challenging, as we needed to learn new parenting skills and we had to learn how to teach others. In the beginning, I thought some of the skills, like the 5-Minute Cool Down, or letting your child take the lead during playtime, wouldn’t work. They seemed so different from what I was used to in our culture. We don’t consider that children have feelings and also need to be heard. We raise them the way we were raised. We expect them to behave in a certain way and, if not, we hit them.

However, when I practised these skills myself, I saw that they worked. I also shared what I learned with Olo’s father, and told him we need to work with each other. If I make a rule, that rule must apply to Olo even in his house. I’m happy to say that he is helping a lot and trying his best.

Of course, we had challenges – parents would sometimes miss sessions because they were out drinking, others told us how their partners beat them every night. Some parents complained that their children lied, stole things, and even hit them back. Many asked us for quick fix solutions to their problems, but that is not how Sinovuyo works.

There are also so many bad role models for our children – crime, alcohol, and drugs are part of our daily life, even in broad daylight.

Thankfully, and to my surprise, they started in the home and ends in the community. Our children will grow up learning that there are other ways to solve problems. They will raise their children to do the same. And generation-by-generation, our neighbourhoods will become safer and happier places to live.

Looking back at my work with Sinovuyo, I have changed a lot. I make sure I spend lots of time with Olo. We are so close. He tells me almost everything. His behaviour has also changed. Now, when he does something wrong, he tells me – so different from when I was a child!

Andiswa Mgedle is a Clowns Without Borders South Africa (www.cwbsa.org) facilitator who delivered the Sinovuyo Caring Families programme during the Ilifa Labantwana-funded randomised control trial in Khayelitsha and Nyanga, Cape Town.
Home visiting to improve maternal and child health

By Mark Tomlinson, Mary Jane Rotheram-Borus and Ingrid le Roux

Investment in children’s early years is critical for improving lifelong well-being, setting the foundations for physical health, interpersonal bonds and attachment, language, and cognitive functioning. But, poverty and the high prevalence of infectious and non-communicable diseases in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) often create poor outcomes for children and their caregivers. Identifying sustainable and effective strategies to improve maternal and child outcomes in LMIC has become a high priority and the challenge is accompanied by the high rates of violence, maternal depression, and under-nutrition in these regions. Despite the fact that these economic, social and health problems are clearly interconnected, intervention strategies for the most part address health risks one by one – in a silo fashion. Many donor agencies continue to fund programmes to address problems generated by a single risk factor.

For example, HIV funding cannot be spent on programmes for depressed women, while funding allocated to alcohol programmes cannot be spent on HIV programmes. Integrated interventions, which target multiple risks, contribute enormously to improved maternal and child health, as well as promote a more efficient and effective use of resources. In South Africa, families are challenged with a generalised HIV epidemic, food inequalities, and, in some areas of the country, the highest documented rate of foetal alcohol syndrome in the world. A serious risk factor for child mortality and morbidity is the country’s problem of poor nutrition or malnutrition. Pregnant South African women with histories of abusing alcohol, mistreatment by violent partners, depression, and living with HIV are likely to have their – and their children’s – post-birth trajectories significantly influenced by these risks. It has been shown that, where multiple risks exist, they take a cumulative toll on children’s development. Early learning and readiness to learn is affected by poverty, family structure, and child and parent health. Most importantly, readiness to learn is now understood as an outcome of the child’s entire life course. There is evidence that the detrimental cumulative effect of multiple risks can be mitigated through early intervention.

Our intervention strategy: the Philani Mentor Mothers programme

The design of the Philani programme builds on the capacity and cultural values of South Africa, fostering attachment and self-efficacy of mothers with their babies. Mentor Mothers are provided with training, materials and skills to address major community health challenges. They provide support and skills to mothers within their daily lives to implement the recommendations received at clinics. As such, Mentor Mothers potentially offer a sustainable para-professional model for building maternal skills, increasing social support and enhancing health care efficacy.

The Philani+ randomised controlled trial study has been implemented in 24 neighbourhoods in Cape Town townships. Participants in the 12 intervention neighbourhoods, the Mentor Mothers programme, delivered a generalist intervention. Participants in the 12 control neighbourhoods received the Department of Health’s clinic-based services. In the 12 intervention neighbourhoods, the Mentor Mothers delivered a generalist intervention during home visits. Each pregnant mother received a minimum of four visits during pregnancy and then between four and eight visits postpartum.

"Children of mothers who received the Philani intervention were significantly less likely to be stunted, to have better vocabularies and were less likely to be hospitalised.”

The mentoring intervention content focuses on the following areas:
- Hazardous alcohol use,
- HIV/Tuberculosis, nutrition,
- Disclosure,
- Preparing and planning for one’s baby,
- Reproductive health,
- Reducing HIV transmission through preventative health/feeding choices and arts,
- Early sensitive parenting and
- Promoting caring for one’s physical and mental health during pregnancy.

A particular strength of this study is that it evaluated the implementation and delivery of a “real world” programme by an existing non-governmental organisation, rather than a package developed and implemented by researchers. We have, to date, conducted an assessment during pregnancy (baseline), and follow up assessments at two weeks, six months, 18 months and three years after birth.

Intervention results to date

Across the follow-up assessments, at the point to 18 months, intervention mothers were significantly more likely to adhere to the complete protocol for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV, to use condoms; have infants of a healthy size; breastfeed exclusively for six months; take their ARV medication both antenatally and postnatally; have a lower number of recent diarrhoea episodes; and have a longer duration of breastfeeding.
“Pregnancy and infancy are critical developmental phases with lifelong consequences; and small changes that become habits can have a substantial impact over a lifetime.”

They were also less likely to engage in hazardous drinking during pregnancy and have infants with multiple clinic visits and episodes of diarrhoea. In addition, infants of depressed pregnant mothers, receiving homes visits from Philani Mentor Mothers, were significantly taller at six months of age than the infants of pregnant depressed mothers not receiving the intervention. At 36 months post-birth, intervention mothers were significantly less depressed compared to mothers in the control group, but were similar in alcohol use and partner relationships. Children of mothers who received the Philani intervention were significantly less likely to be stunted, were less likely to become habits can have a substantial minority of children are missing key supportive services. For example, over 36 months post-birth, 20% of households are not receiving a child grant, while two of five children get no preschool or crèche experiences. This suggests it may be useful to maintain frequent community healthworker visits post the first six months of life. While the importance of early intervention is beyond dispute, it is possible that the benefit of interventions in the first 1,000 days require function preschoool, and crèche services, in order for early benefits to be sustained. In communities without such support, it is perhaps important to continue home visits by Mentor Mothers over the 36-60 months post-birth period, which would cover the phases of children’s language development, goal setting, and key processes central to cognitive and socio-emotional development. Children would likely also benefit indirectly by the improved health of their mothers, if visits were extended.

We believe that the Philani intervention is a model for countries facing significant reductions in funding for single health issues and whose families face multiple health risks. We are continuing to monitor mother and child progress over time and are currently close to completing the follow up of the five-year-old children.

Mark Tomlinson is currently Associate Professor in the Department of Psychology at Stellenbosch University.

Mary Jane Rotheram-Borus is a Professor of Clinical Psychology at the University of California, Los Angeles and Director of the Global Center for Children and Families and the Center for HIV Identification, Prevention, and Treatment Services at the Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior.

Ingrid Le Roux is Medical Director at Philani Nutrition Centres.

Inclusive ECD for parents of children with disabilities

Over the past year, Ilifa Labantwana, along with its partners on the ground, has worked towards the inclusion of children with disabilities in ECD centres in KwaZulu Natal and the creation of support systems for the parents of these children. SUE PHILPOTT and BONGI ZUMA discuss the lessons learned from the parents they worked with.

The Essential Package of ECD Services has made it possible to identify specific factors that support the learning and healthy development of all young children, from the period of conception until age five. In South Africa, however, high levels of poverty, particularly in urban informal settlements and rural areas, create environments that threaten children’s growth. Conditions associated with low socio-economic status, such as overcrowding, poor sanitation and a greater exposure to environmental hazards, such as fire, increase the vulnerability of young children and contribute to compromised development.

Conditions of poverty also contribute to higher levels of disability through exposure to hazardous environments, for example, or poor maternal care during pregnancy and childbirth, while the costs associated with disability only serve to deepen poverty. However, research shows that although poverty can have a negative impact on a young child’s development, this impact can be mediated by the caregiver-child relationship, thus confirming the critical importance of this interaction.

In mid-2014, Ilifa commissioned a pilot project to develop an approach to inclusion of children with disabilities in ECD services in the remote and mostly rural Ugu district of KwaZulu Natal. In addition to the training of ECD practitioners from nine inclusive hubs in the district, a key component of the project was the running of workshops for parents of children with disabilities in each of the six local municipalities.

The aim was to strengthen the role of parents of children with disabilities to support their own child’s development and as advocates of the rights of their children. Each workshop was attended by approximately 20 parents and took place over three consecutive days. Day one focused on sharing childcare experiences, as well as learning about disability rights and cultural beliefs about disability; the second day focused on ECD; and the third looked at inclusion in ECD, as well as the advocacy messages of parents regarding the rights of their children.

This article explores the social milieu of parents of children with disabilities, as related by the parents who participated in these workshops, and it reflects on how this social environment serves to undermine and even “poison” the relationship between mothers and their children. Drawing on the parent support programme piloted in Ugu district, this article shares lessons on the actions which can foster an environment in which every parent finds the affirmation they need to provide a nurturing and loving relationship with their child.

The need for intervention

The need for support for parents of children with disabilities is perhaps best described by contrasting a selection of their main shared

**Components of the Essential Package**

- Nutritional support
- Maternal and child primary health interventions
- Stimulation for early learning
- Social services
- Support for primary caregivers

“The birth of a disabled child is often perceived as a curse or a punishment for the perceived sins of the mother and a source of great shame and disgrace.”
experiences with those of parents of able-bodied children. These experiences are based on accounts related by parents during the workshops of the Ugu district.

The birth of a baby is usually greeted with joy, with family members talking about it with great pride – a child is seen as a blessing and a gift from God. In contrast, the birth of a disabled child is often perceived as a curse or a punishment (law) are angry and blame her for the disgrace they believe she has brought to the family. Even where she is trying to respond to the needs of her child, she does not get their support or affirmation. In this situation, is it surprising that a mother would try to hide her child from community scrutiny? Is it surprising that she would be reluctant to acknowledge her concerns about her child or to seek help?

The attitudes of community members contribute to the mother's feelings of helplessness and uselessness – she is being blamed for something she cannot 'undo' and which is beyond her control. She may turn her anger and frustration on to the child, whom she perceives as being the source of the stress in the family dynamic. The attitudes of community members and their actions towards disabled children and their mothers are frequently legitimated by cultural beliefs about disability. Disabilities associated with behavioural problems, such as autism or Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, are seen to be manifestations of ancestral spirits, which need to be exorcised. A parent may be pressured to spend the little money they have on the purchase and sacrificing of animals to appease the ancestors, instead of seeking medical or therapeutic interventions.

What is the impact of this hostile social environment on the mother of a disabled child and how does it undermine her relationship with her young child?

In the first instance, such attitudes contribute to the social isolation of the mother – she is alone, with no one to turn to. Those on whom she would typically rely (mother, mother-in-law) are angry and blame her for the disgrace they believe she has brought to the family. Everywhere she is trying to respond to the needs of her child, she does not get their support or affirmation. In this situation, is it surprising that a mother would try to hide her child from community scrutiny? Is it surprising that she would be reluctant to acknowledge her concerns about her child or to seek help?

The attitudes of community members contribute to the mother's feelings of helplessness and uselessness – she is being blamed for something she cannot 'undo' and which is beyond her control. She may turn her anger and frustration on to the child, whom she perceives as being the source of the stress in the family dynamic. The attitudes of community members and their actions towards disabled children and their mothers are frequently legitimated by cultural beliefs about disability. Disabilities associated with behavioural problems, such as autism or Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, are seen to be manifestations of ancestral spirits, which need to be exorcised. A parent may be pressured to spend the little money they have on the purchase and sacrificing of animals to appease the ancestors, instead of seeking medical or therapeutic interventions.
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2. Support groups and collective action of parents

Mothers of disabled children need counselling and psycho-social support to assist them to accept their children, and to subsequently move from being passive recipients of the disgrace or charity to a position in which they are able to contribute to shaping the future of their child. One mechanism to facilitate collective action is through representation of parents on community structures.

3. Service providers to ensure services are accessible and appropriate for all children

Finally, there is an urgent need for service providers (social workers, therapists, school principals and non-governmental organisations) to identify and remove barriers which prevent access for children with disabilities. These could be physical barriers, attitudinal barriers or barriers to information.

Instead of saying “any child is welcome to use our services”, the focus needs to be on “how can we make sure that children with disabilities can benefit from our services?” thus actively addressing factors which may hinder access.

The support programme run for parents in Ugu district has begun to address each of these elements. There has been engagement with community leadership, through municipal structures, on information about causes of disability.

In addition, within each local municipality of the district, parent representatives have been elected to represent the concerns and priorities of their peers on the local Disability Forums.

Further, the involvement of various service providers, such as social workers, therapists, ECD practitioners, among others, in the parent workshops, has contributed to growing awareness of the need for their services to be more accessible and appropriate for parents of children with disabilities. Sustainability and expansion will require ongoing support from the Special Programmes manager and Fiscal Persons throughout the municipality, as well as from government and non-governmental service providers in both the ECD and disability sectors.

In a context of vulnerability deepened not only by poverty but also by disability, the parent-child relationship is one of the most precious and influential elements to the well-bunging of a child.

Supporting and affirming this relationship is critical for the future of children with disabilities.

Bongi Zuma is Advocacy Officer at CREATE. She is a trained Community Rehabilitation Facilitator, who is disabled herself and is also the mother of a disabled child. Bongi has set up and worked in a number of community projects in KwaZulu Natal and is currently involved in running workshops on the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.

Sue Philpott is a disability activist, who has been involved in a wide range of disability-related action research studies. She currently co-ordinates the Ugu Inclusive ECD Project.

Implementing ELRU’s Family & Community Motivator programme as a key component of Ilifa’s partnership with the Department of Social Development in North West over the past four years has been an evolutionary process. FIONA BURTT outlines recent shifts in practice and learning gained from responding to the needs of caregivers through an ECD home visiting programme with a changing focus.

The Early Learning Resource Unit (ELRU) has been working in partnership with Ilifa and the North West Department of Social Development (NWDSD) since 2011, demonstrating the importance of home visiting as part of a continuum of approaches to ECD support for young children and their caregivers.

ELRU worked initially in two local municipalities in two North West districts, targeting children under the age of six years from vulnerable families who were not already in an ECD centre. This presented particular challenges. The families frequently reside in remote villages, which are far from services, and where poverty is extreme, and there are high incidences of teenage pregnancy.
FCM programme

explicit support for this period.
visiting programme to offer more
and the critical role of the primary
caregiver, that life and the FLCs to shift the focus of the North West home visiting programme to offer more explicit support for this period.

Circles of support
ELRU's programme is founded on
understanding that the caring
environment around a vulnerable
young child and parent or caregiver
is critical to the child's development
and wellbeing. The environment can be seen as a series of concentric circles of support, with the child at the centre. From conception onwards.

Direct care for that child is provided by the mother or primary caregiver, who becomes the child's immediate support. Providing support for that caregiver and child is a family/household. That household is based in a community, which provides the next layer of support and, in the most impoverished or remote communities, many means of support may be found, such as a church, community groups and structures.

Support around the child
Mother/primer caregiver
Family
Community
Government

progressive development, during
pregnancy and beyond. But, according
to ELRU's FCM programme manager,
Bernie Dawood, in some households,
the mother may have no idea how to
do that, so it may be necessary
for the FCM to model and invite the
mother to practice while the FCM is
present.

Recognising that the relationship
between mother or caregiver
and child is the critical factor
in all aspects of a young child's
development and well-being, as
discussed elsewhere in this issue of
Ilifa Insights. FCMs have discovered
that the support they need to offer
can be as basic as showing a caregiver
how to hold, talk to or smile at her
baby. As Bernie Dawood explains;
"some mothers immediately respond,
but others take time. We encountered
a very young mother, who looked
very depressed, unkempt, absolutely
absent, not relating to her baby. The
FCM had to show her how to smile
and laugh with her baby and, eventually,
she was able to do it. But, if there
had been no home visitor offering
support, it would have been terrible
for that mother and child."

It's all about relationship
As ELRU staff always affirm, the
key to the success of any home
visiting programme is the positive
relationship built between the home
visitor and the caregiver. Simply
stated: "For good outcomes, the
relationship between the FCM and
its staff needs to be stable,
progressive, supportive and uncritical."

1. As highlighted in the 2012 Diagnostic Review of Early Childhood Development in South Africa, commissioned by the Department of Performance Monitoring and Evaluation in the Presidency and the Inter-Departmental Steering Committee on Early Childhood Development, and the draft
South African National ECD Policy, awaiting adoption.

“The key to the success of any home visiting programme is the positive relationship built between the home visitor and the caregiver. For good outcomes, the relationship between participant and programme staff needs to be stable, warm, supportive and uncritical.”

Significant community resources; this would emphasise the role of FCMs
as the outer circle of support described above. The qualitative learning about the needs of young children and caregivers, and the best approaches developed by FCMs to respond to these needs will inform the development of an FCM programme with a significant impact on the programme.

Of concern, therefore, is the current, multi-layered DSD reporting structure – from the ground via
NWDSD to national DSD – is entirely about numbers. As systemic change gradually happens and home visiting becomes more embedded in DSD ECD programmes, where will all this learning go?“
Supporting a child starts with supporting a mother

By Rachel Rozentals-Thresher

The First 1000 days Relationship Support Tool “Ibhaya Lengane” was developed by dlalanathi as an add-on to existing home visiting programmes with the purpose of enhancing the mother-child relationship during the first 1000 days. CEO RACHEL ROZENTALS-THRESHER shares lessons learnt through the process.

We do not believe in ourselves until someone reveals that deep inside us something is valuable, worth listening to, worthy of our trust, says the poet e e cummings. Research and practice emphasises what e e cummings expresses so beautifully. We all come to know who we are through our experience of nurturing relationships with significant others. Nowhere is this more important than in the first 1000 days of a child’s life. At present in South Africa, young children and their mothers face a myriad of risks as a result of poverty, violence and disease, all of which present very challenging conditions for development over this crucial time.

A young woman, living in a rural community in KwaZulu Natal province, told us the story of the decisions and actions which changed the course of her life. She described her shame at falling pregnant in her last year of school, her uncertainty in her relationship with her boyfriend, and her fear for the future. The moment she told us the story of the decisions and actions which changed the course of her life. The moment she told us the story of the decisions and actions which changed the course of her life. The moment she told us the story of the decisions and actions which changed the course of her life. The moment she told us the story of the decisions and actions which changed the course of her life.

This concept acknowledges that many programmes have been developed to effectively deliver either comprehensive and integrated interventions (such as maternal and child health, nutrition, parenting, play, and structural support through grants) or very specific interventions for mothers and children over the first 1000 days (such as child health, nutrition, or early childhood development through stimulation and play). Some early childhood programmes focus on the older child. Other organisations deliver support to households which include pregnant women, young mothers and their children, without any particular programme focus on the needs of these target groups.

Ilifa partners openly shared their home visitor programme materials to assist the team in scoping what exists and identifying key opportunities to strengthen existing programmes through an “add-on.” Given that many exemplary early child development programmes already exist, the conclusion of the team was that this could have significant impact on strengthening home visiting programming.

Nurturing the mother

The tool has been developed with a central principle in mind: Care for the baby in the first 1000 days can only be achieved through nurturing relationships around mothers or primary caregivers and children.

The First 1000 Days Relationship Support Tool contains:

- Relationship-based training for home visitors;
- A set of three structured home visits: one with the mother; two with the mother and baby; over four phases (pregnancy; birth to six months; six to 12 months; and 12 to 24 months);
- Materials to guide facilitation and some leave-at-home materials for the mother to support sustaining the activities.

The principles are as follows:

- The mother is the key to positive care and relationship for her baby;
- Helping the mother first and foremost is the path to supporting her baby’s development;
- Within a caring and supportive relationship, we explore the relationship between the mother and her baby;
- Activities give the knowledge, feeling and action appropriate to strengthening the mother’s relationship with her baby.

The home visitor brings with her:

- Attitude and approach: A sensitive, caring view of the mother’s capacity to care.
- Knowledge: An understanding of the significance of a responsive relationship in the development of the baby.
- Activity: A set of activities to do in the home to build a relationship and connect with the mother.

A trained homevisitor brings an empathic, sensitive and relationship-based approach to build a relationship with the mother which, in turn, helps her prioritise her own health at this important time and plan to take a small strategic step towards actions which strengthen her self-care.

The home visitor also identifies relationship support and assists the mother in taking a small, strategic step towards attaining that support.

Finally, through her interaction with the home visitor, the mother begins to think about her own relationship with her baby and works towards strengthening that relationship.

The family-focused sessions have the dual aims of encouraging family in their nurturing relationship towards mum and supporting mum and other caregivers.

Rachel Rozentals-Thresher is CEO of dlalanathi, an NGO that works within the South African context, to provide psychosocial support for children through play, by training and supporting caregivers.
All parents have the power to instil magic into their children’s lives and it never needs to involve expensive presents or grand gestures. At Ilifa, we believe that it’s the ordinary day-to-day interactions between a child and a caregiver that build bright minds, compassionate hearts and healthy bodies. We launched the #LovePlayTalk national multimedia campaign in June 2015, along these principles, with the goal of encouraging South African parents to spend more quality time loving, playing and talking with their children. #LovePlayTalk’s first phase focused on raising awareness via billboards and public radio service announcements, both of which directed the public to an information-filled mobi-site, MyChildSA.mobi. We are currently in the process of defining the phase of the campaign for 2016.
“Life affords no greater responsibility, no greater privilege, than the raising of the next generation.”
– C Everett Koop